G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. 1897-8.

~~191~~ .

(L. R.)

File No. 2725. 897.

Serial Nos. 1 — 16.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 16.

~~Part~~ B for March 1903.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Narasimhachariar & Govindaraji in connection with the alienation of Jodi Mukkandal Agraharam to Non-Brahmins.

D. 20/S. S. 8-2-98. From V. Narasimhachariar, Devarkonda

Sl. 1: Prays that the order of the issue of the Jodi Mukkandalli Village may be cancelled.

Sl 2. Pet 1141 dt 5-3-98. From Govt Bangalore.

Forwards the above for disposal.

Sl 3. D. 1825 S/12-3 on Narasimhachariar.

Prays for the authorised copy of the order passed on the appeal of the petitioners of Mukkandalli Agraharam.

W D 1851—GPE—20,000—18-12-15

Sl. 3. Re. 1825 of 30.3.00. To Narasimhabhatta.

States that the applicant is not a party to the order, and his application is returned.

Sl 4. Re 384 of 15.11.00. From Narasimhabhatta.

States that his appeal is pending disposal & that he has to produce the copy applied for in that connection.

Sl 5. Re. 385 of 15.11.00 From Narasimhabhatta.

Requests to review the order Re. 185 of 4.8.00 passed by the 3rd Asst Comr Bangalore, on his petition.

Sl 6. Re. 785-20 of 2.2.07. To Narasimhabhatta.

Intimates that the order appealed against being that of an Asst Comr, he should appeal to the Dy Comr in the first instance & then to Govt if he deems necessary.

Sl. 7. Re. 233 of 29.4.07. From Narasimhabhatta. ~~Submits the order of the Dy Comr~~

Submits the order of the Dy Comr. of 5.3.07. & the affirt that there are no rules to interfere in the matter

Sl 8. Re. 2233-3 of 11.5.07. To Narasimhabhatta.

Directs him to affix Rs 2/- Court Fee Stamps to his petition.

Sl 8. Re. 2488 of 22.5.07. From. Narasimhabhatta.

States that he had affixed Rs 2½/- Stamps on account of this case and prays that the petition may be admitted.

Sl 9. Re Pet 1862 of 10.6.07. To Dy Comr, Bangalore.

Forwards the petition for submission & report reporting the facts of the case.

Sl No. 1 Sl 10. No. 2033 Dt 23.8.02 From Dy. Comr. Bangalore.

Calls for report on the petition.

Sl 11. No. pet 1958 Dt 17.4.02. To Supdt Inam Settlt

Requests to forward a copy of the Inam Register of enquiry for Jodi Nallenhalli Village.

Sl 12. No. 13975 Dt 2.5.02. From. Supdt. Inam Settlt

Forwards copy of the said Register.

Sl 13. No. 2233-2 Dt 6.6.02. To Narasimhabhatta.

States that the village was enfranchised & alienated prior to the issue of G.O. mentioned in the petition and that Govt cannot interfere in this matter.

Sl 14. No. pet 2202 Dt 6.6.02. To Dy. Comr. Bangalore.

Forwards copy of the above.

Sl. 14. No. 707. Dt 2.7.02 From. Narasimhabhatta.

States that Kondarasanis are in actual to enjoy the land as no prayer could be offered by them and that therefore the alienation referred should be cancelled.

Sl. No. No. 707 Dt 3.11.02. To Narasimhabhatta.

Returns the petition for not having affixed the stamp

1897-98

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30*th March* 1897

No. 8597 / Pet. 1460

Communicating the remarks of Government in the matter of the alienation to a non-Brahmin of certain vrittis in jodi Hulisandra, Tiptur taluk, by one of the vrittidars, and directing a careful enquiry about it.

M.G.C.P.B.—10-8-97—120

READ:—

The following petition registered No. 346, dated 19th June 1896, from Surappa and other Brahmins of Hubsendra Agrahar in the Tiptur taluk, complaining that one Ramaiya had, contrary to the conditions of the grant and to express orders of Government, alienated his vrittis in the Agrahar to a non-Brahmin, and praying for the cancellation of the alienation.

2. Letter No. 569, dated 25th December 1896, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur district, reporting on the above.

3. Letter No. 74, dated 13th March 1897, from the Superintendent, Inam Settlements, stating, with reference to the above petition, that the grant decidedly partakes of the nature of an Agrahar as explained in Government Order No. 5189-90—Rev. 885, dated 7th January 1880.

No. 8597—Pet. 1460, DATED, BANGALORE, 30TH MARCH 1897.

ORDER THEREON.—A copy of the Inam Superintendent's letter read above is forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner of Tumkur, with a request that he will, with reference to it, institute a careful enquiry about the alienation complained of.

2. The fact that the powers of alienation have been granted in the Inam Settlement is not incompatible with the reservation made in the Agrahar tenure, that the holder of the vritti for the time being should be a Brahmin. The Deputy Commissioner's attention is drawn to this aspect of the question and he is requested to take action accordingly.

3. The original petition No. 346, dated 19th June 1896, is forwarded herewith for reference and return when no longer required.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Exd.—H.A.R.

Sup^d to Sec^y

The validity of the order-
Copy of wh is desired by
the pet^r — as well as
of the order of 7/1/80 in
accordance with wh the
order of 1897 was passed
was doubted by the 1st —
Committee who called for
certain information from
the Assam Govt — (when he
was in charge of Petition
Portfolio of the Eastern Dn)
on receipt of the information
the papers were sent
to Rev C^r & Dn but no
final orders have yet —
been passed —
The 2nd Committee to whom

The file was submitted
in connection with an application
Case in Mysore &c has
referred the matter to
the Dewan, urging that
the order of 1880 should
be given effect to
The question is whether under
these circumstances, 1897
a copy of the order may
be sworn 28/3/02

P.

The Petr was informed
many times the order a
copy of which
cannot therefore
be granted to him.
Please inform him
accordingly &
29/3/00

Enclosure letter
YG 30.3.
1900

2/ I do not see 5
how the order
of 1850 can be quoted
from here. Under
the circumstances (before
the date of the order

A similar question
is pending before the
Devan. Please place
the file before him

Bra 1/2/02

Actn
Please let
me have a
note of the
question
18/2

Subject: There is already a
note containing the observa-
tions of the Asst Secy (Revenue)
and that of the
officiating Devan regarding
the question raised in the
Narsapur Upgrading file
(D.No 6 of 96-97).

21.2.02

To Asst Secy (Exh)
the Devan.
This may be sub-
mitted to the Devan

With the previous file
(also returned here)

28/2/1902

Read
1.3.02

3597
4403

Member of the Nayakandy
of 1880 caused of
course affect the
previous settlements
— and some of the subse-
quent settlements
also have not included
under- Shall
observed that Tr Regulation
& consider the whole
subject and forwarding
me with his views

Read
30.III.02

?
3/3

Regd. Dist Council

R? 1/4/02
1987

4 02

Pleader dec 2nd
part w. 16/5/02

Case.

Up- H.V.R.T. 5/2/98 Section

Serial No

Refer
10/2/98

No. Ver: Rec for refers

Sinch
10/2/98

Subst

No prev. enquiry is to the friend. The Kyfiat petti shews that the grant inam is Brahmin dayas or one granted to Vishnuites.

Return the petti to Mr

for disposal by

12/3/98

12.3.98

12-3-98

3/98

7/3/98

5/1897 1898 (4) 1

No. 2018

Name of Petitioner A. Narasimhaiah

Village Devanpundi

Taluk Hoskote

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 8-2-'98

Date of Receipt —

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure nil

ABSTRACT

States that the Jodi Nallia-nahalli village is an inam granted to his ancestors; that there are 12 heredars to the said inam; that some of the heired have been either sold away or mortgaged to Sirdars contrary to the terms of the grant, which has caused great annoyance to him (petr) in the management of his own share,

Prays that the said sale or mortgage of some of the heirs may

Pet 1141 P2 15-3-98.

(2)

3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition Registered No. 2e 18 dated 8-2-98 received at the Dewan's Office from A. Narasimhalle of Dee gundi village, Hesketesal complaining of the alienat by sale of estate house of Jadi rakkasankalle in village enclosure to the of the Fraud

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosure,~~ to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District, for disposal. by ~~order~~ ~~and~~ ~~return~~ ~~with~~ ~~petition~~

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
15/3/1898

15/3/98

89

4

Refer
21.3.00

1126 of 1899 (P.24) 1897 Serial No. 3

Mark
21/3/00

O.S. 447 of 97 existing in the
No. 2077-80 of 6-9-99.
O.S. 447/97
relating to the subject
referred to in the Petn. was
submitted to the Hon. Councillor
E. Dn on 30-9-99

All
21/3/900

Subd
I believe the order in
may
22.3.900 the case is printed
& is dated 30th March
April 1897 (No 8597
Pet-1460)

Put up a copy.
23/3/00
To Genl Records for
Kind compliance.

All
23/3/900
To Petition Branch
Shroff
Accept the printed Proceedings V.
8597 & 30-3-97 subject.
Pet 1460
23/3/00

24/3/900
M Venkata Ramaiah
27.3.00

L.R.
292 of 97 / 1900 (3)

No. 1825

Name of Petitioner Devangondi Rama — Simha Bhatta

Village

Taluk Hoskote

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 12-3-00

Date of Receipt 17-3-00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure (a Map Sheet)

ABSTRACT

Hon. Court have ordered in the appeal of the petitioners of the Kandra Agrahara, in the Tekkalakote Taluk, Tumkur Dt. that it is improper to sell watta to non-Brahmins

Prays for an authorized copy of the said order.

Rs. 20/3/00

Refee

5

19-11-00

1825 ...

19/11 ...

20-11-00

985 ...

20/11 ...

7/12

Vide ... 2985

4-2-01

L.R. 1900 (4)

2202/1

Serial No. 4 No. 984

Name of Petitioner Narasimha Bat...

Village Devanagundi

Taluk: Hesarghatta

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 15.11.00

Date of Receipt 16.11.00.

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one blank paper

ABSTRACT

With reference to ... No. 1825 dated 20.3.00, states that his appeal is pending disposal by the Government and that he has to produce the copy applied for in that connection.

Prays for granting the said copy.

17.11.00.

Re inam lands of Ramakkanahalli —




PETITION DEPARTMENT
REGD. NO. 1825/25













984

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 984R
DATE 16-11-1900

(5) Survey

1900

No. 1485

Name of Petitioner Narasimha Setty

Village Kamanahalli

Taluk Hoskote

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 15-11-00

Date of Receipt 16-11-00

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 1

ABSTRACT

It is stated that the Inamdar of Kamanahalli has sent a petition to the Revenue Officer praying that the Rs. of plot No. 57877 of 7-2-86 the Inamdar of Kamanahalli may be transferred to his name, stating that the Survey Officer has refused to do so on the ground that the Survey Officer has not the power to transfer the Rs. of Inam lands. In the petition it is stated that the Survey Officer has not the power to transfer the Rs. of Inam lands. In the petition it is stated that the Survey Officer has not the power to transfer the Rs. of Inam lands.

To general Records.

Reference pending.

Put up Pr: ofpl at N° 57899 dy 7.2.1880 quoted in petn.
15/12/00

The survey officer has refused to transfer the Rs. of the plot No. 57899 of 7-2-1880 quoted in the petition. The Inamdar of Kamanahalli has represented that the survey officer has refused to transfer the Rs. of the plot No. 57899 of 7-2-1880 quoted in the petition. The Inamdar of Kamanahalli has represented that the survey officer has refused to transfer the Rs. of the plot No. 57899 of 7-2-1880 quoted in the petition.

Put up Pr: ofpl at N° 57899 dy 7.2.1880 quoted in petn.
15/12/00

The survey officer has refused to transfer the Rs. of the plot No. 57899 of 7-2-1880 quoted in the petition. The Inamdar of Kamanahalli has represented that the survey officer has refused to transfer the Rs. of the plot No. 57899 of 7-2-1880 quoted in the petition. The Inamdar of Kamanahalli has represented that the survey officer has refused to transfer the Rs. of the plot No. 57899 of 7-2-1880 quoted in the petition.

L.R.
292 of 97
6

B/m no 985 of 15.11.00

Subd Mr papers on this subject are pending orders.

MLJ
22.1.01

Recd
30.1.01
Hailsham

Suggests that, before ~~that~~ the ~~enforcement~~ order applied for is granted, a copy of the application should be forwarded to the Board of Agriculture for their observations thereon & that a ~~copy~~ plan of the area affected should be obtained if necessary.

Lus
31/1/'01.

Enclosure returned
CJ
2/2/01
Hastings
Farncombe
4.2.01

Refer
4.5.01

Section [illegible]

No 272/97

(6) 1900-01

11-5-1901

11

985 [illegible]

7

No. 2233

7/5

Name of Petitioner Narasimhatta

Village Devankundi Mugalur Hobli

Taluk Hoskote

District Bangalore

Date of Arzi 29-4-01

Date of Receipt 1-5-01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosures three

The first petition was disposed of long ago & endt issued to petr (vide petns 2018 & 8.2.98).

* The present petr requires a stamp of 2Rs — may the petn be returned for this reason

mg
9.5.01

10/5/01

Endt in petn

11/5/01

ABSTRACT

With reference to this office endt No. 985 dated 2.2.01, on his petn dated 15.11.00, submits herewith the order of the Dy Comr, which is an endt dated 5.3.01 on the petitioner's application to him, to the effect that there are no rules to guide him in the matter.

Petitioner prays that the entire records relating to the case, as well those relating to Hulisandra Agrahara Tiptur Taluk, may be perused and the

alienation by sale or mortgage of the Vritti's to non-Brahmins made by his ancestors, may be cancelled being against the rules and the Village resumed to him

States further that as he had affixed Stamps to the Value of Rs 2-8-0, to his former petitions dated 8-2-98, he has not affixed any Stamps to this petition

3-5-01

Reference

27.5.01

L.R.

2.92 of 97.

(7) 13

1901

2233 Copy sent to Collr. Bellary 8.

No. 2485

Name of Petitioner Narasimha Bhatta

Village Devanakonde

Taluk Gooty

District Bellary

Date of Arzi 22/05/01

Date of Receipt 22/05/01

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Four

29/5/01

1/6

Subject with reference to the order on petn No 2233. which being the 1st appeal petn against the Bye laws order required 2 R. Stamp the petn of 1898 referred to by the petn was said to by laws for 1st appeal and the order appealed against was subsequently varied

May the petn be returned to the previous said and informed that unless 2 Rs. Stamp is affixed to the petn no his appeal will not be entertained and the papers admitted

3/6/01

The petr saw me personally that he was to pay 5 affix Stamps

= 1/6 D/Clerk for report with records

7/6/01

Offr: O.M. & Encl: put up. Dtd 7/6/01.

ABSTRACT

With reference to this office endorsement No 2233 dated 1/5/01 directing him to affix two rupee Court Fee Stamps to his petition the petitioner states that he had at first pasted 2½ rupee stamps on account of his case.

He prays that his petition may therefore be admitted.

MGCPB—23-3-01—3,000.

Read

25/5/01

(8) Pet 1862

End 2 to 10/16/1901 13

Section ... L.R. 2 & 97 9

MYSORE, DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 2233 dated 29-4-01, received at the Dewan's Office from Narasimha bhatta & Devanahalli Taluk 24, against the Mysore order regarding the cancellation of the alienations of the Vrittis to non-brahmins, made by his ancestors and the restoration of the Jodi village of Vakkanahalli to him is forwarded, together with its four enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner of the Bangalore District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure should be returned.

By order,

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,
16.8. 1901.

10

8211
7-6-01.

-xx-

D No 238

Rm: 5 and Vmds.

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 23rd August 98.

From,

M. S. Narayan Rao Esqr:
Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To,

N. V. Ramunnayya Esqr. M.A.M.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore
Rev: and Genl Department

Sir,

With reference to your official memo No Rst/1862 of the 10th June last, calling for a report on the petition from Narasimha bhatta of Devankundi in the Hoskote Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:

2. The petitioner in his petition to Government dated 8th February 1898, represented that Jodi Nakkanahalli Village in

2699

29-8-01 1899 1902

Recd 30.

FROM

To

Dated

Despatched 2 pm } August 1901

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No. Land Records.

Secretary's Office, Madras

the 10th June 1901.

Submitted with reference to the petition of transmitted thereto by the Commissioner of Salt Revenue, Madras, praying that the Government may be pleased to direct that the Commissioner may be allowed to [illegible]

Petn 26.8.01

Recd 27/8 335

Respectfully

All 27-8-01

Submitted for Consideration

30.8.01.

Requesting whether G.O. 7/11/80 (re Agraharams / P219-20 Rev. Manual) prohibiting the alienation of lands to non-Brahmins applies to inams enfranchised prior to the issue of the above order was taken in the Ambasamudram Agrahar case. The file was sent to Tirunelveli on 9/5/01 in connection with another similar case.

9/9/01

RD 9/9/01 1545

Kindly see, I recollect vaguely the question in the absence of the file on the Ambasamudram Case. Please call for it from the Collector and submit.

26/9/01

Sd illegible

Asst. Secy. 3/10

See separate sheet

RD 4/10/01 253

in the Hosakote Taluk granted as Inam to his great grand father for the purpose of offering prayers for the prosperity of the State had, subsequent to the grant, been alienated by some of the Sharers to non-Brahmins, and that the village should be restored to him for the purpose to which the grant was made. It was transmitted to this office with your official memo Rev/1141 of the 15th March 1898 for disposal by endorsement, and it was referred to the then Range officer, Mr. C. Rajagopalachariar, for disposal accordingly. That officer, finding that the inam was an enfranchised one, consisting of 9 Shares, and that the restoration of half the Shares therein alienated

alienated to non Brahmins, partly by sale and partly by mortgage, was impracticable, directed the Amildar to issue an endorsement to the petitioner to that effect. Thereupon, he applied to this office for remedy. My predecessor, [illegible], declined to interfere in the matter, as it was an unprecedented one. It is against this order, that the present appeal is preferred.

3. The Inam in question falls under "Agrahara Inams" and the holders thereof are bound to perform service duty, and they are therefore prohibited from alienating them to other than Brahmins who can perform [illegible]

duty. (Vide Government Proceedings No. 5189-90 R885 dated 7th January 1880). The inams were, however, enfranchised free from all conditions prior to the laying down of the principle noted above, and the petitioner has no remedy in the case.

4. The appeal petition with its enclosures and the records relating to the case are, herewith, submitted.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

2233

21

2233R

-5-1997

11

No. 2233-A3

Dated 11-5-1901.

For Secretary

Section

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PERSONAL DEPARTMENT
RECD. NO. 9854
DATE 16-11-1900

985
23

25

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 20157
DATE 8-2-93

Before me

A Commissioner for Oaths